


TEACHERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS
PANDIT SUNDARLAL SHARMA (OPEN) UNIVERSITY CHHATTISGARH

| SL No. | Items | N | Mean | Std. Deviation |
|--------|---|-------|------|----------------|
| | | Valid | | |
| 1 | Current curriculum is as per requirement. | 42 | 3.36 | .879 |
| 2 | Level of Inclusion of Relevant Content in the Curriculum. | 42 | 3.29 | .742 |
| 3 | The curriculum is capable of serving the learning objectives. | 42 | 3.36 | .932 |
| 4 | Flexibility level in the curriculum | 42 | 3.29 | .944 |
| 5 | Course material meets the need of the students | 42 | 3.31 | 1.024 |
| 6 | Course review | 42 | 3.38 | .936 |
| 7 | Level of employability in the courses offered by the University | 42 | 3.21 | .951 |

1. Current curriculum is as per requirement.


| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Average | 7 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| Good | 17 | 40.5 | 40.5 |
| Excellent | 14 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| Outstanding | 4 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| Total | 42 | 100.0 | 100.0 |



Analysis explains the responses of university teachers on the statement “**Current curriculum is as per requirement**” in which 40.48% university teachers responded as ‘Good’ on the statement followed by 33.33% as ‘Excellent’. Only 9.52% were responded as ‘Outstanding’. However, 16.67% university students were responded as ‘Average’ on the statement. Hence, it can be stated that the majority of university teachers were positive on the statement which indicates that they believe that current curriculum is as per requirement.

2. Level of Inclusion of Relevant Content in the Curriculum.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Average | 6 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
| Good | 19 | 45.2 | 45.2 |
| Excellent | 16 | 38.1 | 38.1 |
| Outstanding | 1 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Total | 42 | 100.0 | 100.0 |




Analysis explains the responses of university teachers on the statement “**Level of Inclusion of Relevant Content in the Curriculum**” in which 45.24% university teachers responded as ‘Good’ on the statement followed by 38.10% as ‘Excellent’. Only 2.38% were responded as ‘Outstanding’. However, 14.29% university students were responded as ‘Average’ on the statement. Hence, it can be stated that the majority of university teachers were positive on the statement which indicates that they believe that level of inclusion of relevant content in the curriculum are more than good.

3. The curriculum is capable of serving the learning objectives.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Poor | 1 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Average | 6 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
| Good | 16 | 38.1 | 38.1 |
| Excellent | 15 | 35.7 | 35.7 |
| Outstanding | 4 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| Total | 42 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


3. The curriculum is capable of serving the learning objectives.



Analysis explains the responses of university teachers on the statement “**The curriculum is capable of serving the learning objectives**” in which 38.10% university teachers responded as ‘Good’ on the statement followed by 35.71% as ‘Excellent’. Only 9.52% were responded as ‘Outstanding’. However, 14.29% university students were responded as ‘Average’ whereas only 2.38% responded as ‘Poor’ on the statement. Hence, it can be stated that the majority of university teachers were positive on the statement which indicates that they believe that the curriculum is capable of serving the learning objectives.

4. Flexibility level in the curriculum.


| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Average | 9 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Good | 17 | 40.5 | 40.5 |
| Excellent | 11 | 26.2 | 26.2 |
| Outstanding | 5 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| Total | 42 | 100.0 | 100.0 |



Analysis explains the responses of university teachers on the statement “**Flexibility level in the curriculum**” in which 40.48% university teachers responded as ‘Good’ on the statement followed by 26.19% as ‘Excellent’. Only 11.90% were responded as ‘Outstanding’. However, 21.43% university students were responded as ‘Average’ on the statement. Hence, it can be stated that the majority of university teachers were positive on the statement which indicates that they believe that the flexibility level in the curriculum are more than good.

5. Course material meets the need of the students.


| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Poor | 1 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Average | 9 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Good | 13 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
| Excellent | 14 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| Outstanding | 5 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| Total | 42 | 100.0 | 100.0 |



Analysis explains the responses of university teachers on the statement “**Course material meets the need of the students**” in which 33.33% university teachers responded as ‘Excellent’ on the statement followed by 30.95% as ‘Good’. Only 11.90% were responded as ‘Outstanding’. However, 21.43% university students were responded as ‘Average’ whereas only 2.38% were responded as ‘Poor’ on the statement. Hence, it can be stated that the majority of university teachers were positive on the statement which indicates that they believe that the course material meets the need of the students.

6. Course review.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Average | 6 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
| Good | 21 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Excellent | 8 | 19.0 | 19.0 |
| Outstanding | 7 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| Total | 42 | 100.0 | 100.0 |




Analysis explains the responses of university teachers on the statement “Course review” in which 50% university teachers responded as ‘Good’ on the statement followed by 19.05% as ‘Excellent’. Only 16.67% were responded as ‘Outstanding’. However, 14.29% university students were responded as ‘Average’ on the statement. Hence, it can be stated that the majority of university teachers were positive on the statement as the course is properly reviewed in the university.

7. Level of employability in the courses offered by the University.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Poor | 1 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Average | 9 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Good | 15 | 35.7 | 35.7 |
| Excellent | 14 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
| Outstanding | 3 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Total | 42 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

7. Level of employability in the courses offered by the University



VERIFIED

REGISTRAR

Pt. Sunderlal Sharma (Open)
University Chhattisgarh
BILASPUR (C.G.)

Analysis explains the responses of university teachers on the statement "Level of employability in the courses offered by the University" in which 35.71% university teachers responded as 'Good' on the statement followed by 33.33% as 'Excellent'. Only 7.14% were responded as 'Outstanding'. However, 21.23% university students were responded as 'Average' whereas 2.38% responded as 'Poor' on the statement. Hence, it can be stated that the majority of university teachers were positive on the statement which indicates that they believe that the course consists the level of employability offered by the University.

Handwritten signature and date: 22.10.2021

Handwritten signature and date: 22.10.2021

Handwritten signature and date: 22.10.2021

Handwritten signature: Saurel

Handwritten signature and date: 22.10.2021

Handwritten signature: Sh